	WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RES	SIDUAL (	(CCR) IN	SPECTION REPORT
Date:	SREJANSII	VG LAND	Pal	
22 460	Inspector. Inspector	<del>h</del>		
Time:	Weather Conditions: UCV	$\underline{M}$	- Wil	ioly
		Yes	No	Notes
CCRI	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	<u> </u>	1	1 TYOLES
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	Í		
	localized settlement observed on the	F		
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			ı
	CCR?	<u></u>		
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells			
	containing CCR or within the general landfill		11/	
	operations that represent a potential disruption			
<u></u>	to ongoing CCR management operations?			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or			V
	within the general landfill operations that	:	. /	
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			
<b></b>	the CCR management operations.			
CCRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	<u>4))</u>		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting			
	period? If answer is no, no additional			
	information required			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust			
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		•	
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
_	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			•
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	landfill access roads?			-
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		<del></del>	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			-
	corrective action measures below.		1	-
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	and the same of th		I and the second
	describe recommended changes below.		1	
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-		
	complaints received during the reporting	l		
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	- 1000		
dditiona	l Notes:			

Date: C Time:	WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RISEAUNS Inspector.  Weather Conditions: CO Weather Conditions: CO Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill reperations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  We Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)  Vas CCR received during the reporting	Yes 849	Sinow	h	Notes
CCR Landi  1. The second of th	Weather Conditions: Colored Weather Conditions: Colored Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	84)		iney?	Notes
1.   No.   St.   St.   2.   V   Co.   3.   W   re   th   CCR Fugitive   4.   W   pe   int   5.   W   su,   6.   If it   coi   lan   sus   7.   W   lan   8.   Wa	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	84)	S No		Notes
1.   No.   St.   St.   2.   V   Co.   3.   W   re   th   CCR Fugitive   4.   W   pe   int   5.   W   su,   6.   If it   coi   lan   sus   7.   W   lan   8.   Wa	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	84)			140125
1.   No.   St.   St.   2.   V   Co.   3.   W   re   th   CCR Fugitive   4.   W   pe   int   5.   W   su,   6.   If it   coi   lan   sus   7.   W   lan   8.   Wa	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.				
2. V cc 3. W re th CCR Fugitiv 4. W pe int 5. W su 6. If i coi lan sus 7. W a lan 8. Wa	localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill uperations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  We Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)				
2. V co c	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that expresent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  We Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)				
2.   V   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that expresent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  The Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b))				
3. W w re th  CCR Fugitiv 4. W pe int 5. W su 6. If i coi lan sus 7. W a lan 8. Wa	containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  The Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b))				
3. W w re th  CCR Fugitiv 4. W pe int 5. W su 6. If i coi lan sus 7. W a lan 8. Wa	containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  The Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b))				
3. W  Te th  CCR Fugitiv  4. W  pe ini 5. W  su  6. If i  coi lan sus  7. W  8. Wa	perations that represent a potential disruption of ongoing CCR management operations?  Vere conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that expresent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  Ve Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)				
3. We re the CCR Fugitive 4. We suggest that the suggest that the control of the	o ongoing CCR management operations?  Vere conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that expressent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  Ve Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	i.			
3. Www.re. th. CCR Fugitive 4. Wpe into the surple of the	Vere conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that expresent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  The Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b))		1.4		
CCR Fugitiv  4. W pe ini 5. W su 6. If i con lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa	within the general landfill operations that epresent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  The Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b))				
CCR Fugitive  4. We per interpretation of the period of th	epresent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  ve Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)			600	
CCR Fugitiv  4. W pe int 5. W su 6. If: con lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa	ne CCR management operations.  ve Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)		1.4		
CCR Fugitiv  4. W pe ini 5. W su 6. If i coi lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa	ve Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))			
4. W pe int 5. W su 6. If: con lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa	ve Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))			
4. W pe int 5. W su 6. If: con lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa	T CCD - 1 1 1				
5. W sug 6. If a con lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa	as CLR received during the reporting	<del>`</del>	Т.		
5. W sug 6. If i coi lan sus 7. W lan 8. Wa	eriod? If answer is no, no additional			/	
5. W sug 6. If a coi lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa	formation required			1000	
6. If i coi lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa					
6. If: coi lan sus 7. Wa lan 8. Wa	Vas all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		-		
7. Wa lan. 8. Wa	appresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		Charge Control of the		
7. Wa lan 8. Wa	response to question 5 is no, was CCR				
7. Wa lan: 8. Wa	nditioned (wetted) prior to transport to				
7. Wa lan 8. Wa	adfill working face, or was the CCR not				
lan 8. Wa	sceptable to fugitive dust generation?		Oli American	1	
8- Ma	as CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			<u> </u>	
8-   Wa	idfill access roads?				•
17	as CCR fugitive dust observed at the			<del></del>	
land	dfill? If the answer is yes, describe			-	
	rective action measures below.			1	-
9. Are	e current CCR fugitive dust control		<del></del>	<del> </del>	
mea	asures effective? If the answer is no				
desc				ļ.	
10. Wei	cnbe recommended changes below.	<del></del>			
com	cribe recommended changes below.  IE CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
репо	re CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
11. Wer	re CCR fugitive dust-related citizen uplaints received during the reporting				
	re CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	·			-

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required 5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7\_ Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? 8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9\_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10\_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged?

Additional Notes:

	WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RE	SIDUAT.	(CCR) IN			,
Date:_	Inspector COV	IGLANI Cy (	FILE		IN KEROKI	
Time:_	DIO AN Weather Conditions: 30	Ver	40	indu	·	
		Yes	No	T	Notes	
CCRI	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	<u> </u>	<del></del>	<del></del>	110365	
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	<del>-</del> /		<del></del>		
1	localized settlement observed on the	-		4	-	
<b>!</b> -	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			1,		
	CCR?			,		
2	Were conditions observed within the cells					
	containing CCR or within the general landfill					
	operations that represent a potential disruption		11/			
<u></u>	to ongoing CCR management operations?					
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or					
	within the general landfill operations that		1	1		
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of					
ļ	the CCR management operations.			1		
CCRF	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)(4	ຄາ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting	-))	<u> </u>			
_	period? If answer is no, no additional			<i>Y</i>		
	information required					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust					<del></del>
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR		<del></del>			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to					
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not	-				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					-
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on					
	landfill access roads?				•	Ì
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			·		
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			•		
	corrective action measures below.	0			-	1
9-	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	Sweep				
	describe recommended changes below.		1			
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen			<del></del>		
	complaints received during the reporting			1		MTS4_Artenne
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question					-
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	·				
dditional	Notes:					_

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xlsx

	WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RES	SIDTAT.	ער נשרט אי	- TCIDID'C'''I'''\		
Date:_	130-3031 Inspector OWG	IGIAN	FILE		, KEROKI	
Time:_	3:20 AMWeather Conditions: LOC	lm-	Sligi	at Sm	W-Winc	
		Yes	No		Notes	
CCRI	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84		1 210		IVOLES	
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	<del>-</del> )	T			
	localized settlement observed on the	ŀ		1		
1.	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing					
	CCR?			/		
2	Were conditions observed within the cells			<del> </del>		<del></del> .
	containing CCR or within the general landfill		1			
	operations that represent a potential disruption	-				
-	to ongoing CCR management operations?	·				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or					
	within the general landfill operations that		, /	1		
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of					
<u> </u>	the CCR management operations.		. •			
CCR F	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	))				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting	1				
	period? If answer is no, no additional					
	information required					
5_	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust					
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?		-			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR					
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to					
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not		l			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					-
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on					·
	landhii access roads?				-	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the					
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		Deveration	-		
	corrective action measures below.		1		-	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,					
10.	describe recommended changes below.					1
10-	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	- T				
	complaints received during the reporting	J		•		
11_	period? If the answer is yes, answer question Were the citizen complaints logged?					-
	The ordivert combining Togged,					
lditional	Notes					
- united	TAOFERS					
<del></del>				<del></del>		

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015.xlsx